



Independent Reasonable Assurance Report to Directors of Vector Limited and to the New Zealand Commerce Commission

Opinion

Our reasonable assurance opinion has been formed on the basis of the matters outlined in this report.

In our opinion:

- in all material respects, Reissued Schedules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (a-g), 6 (a-b), 7, 10 ((i)-(iv)) and 14 (boxes 1 – 12) of the Vector Limited Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Requirements Information Templates (the 'reissued schedules'), have been prepared, in accordance with the New Zealand Commerce Commission's Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (amended as of 9 December 2021) and the related Reasons Paper and Input Methodologies (together 'the determination') for the year ended 31 March 2020;
- Vector Limited's basis for valuation of related party transactions in the year ended 31 March 2020 has complied, in all material respects, with clause 2.3.6 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (amended as of 9 December 2021) and clauses 2.2.11(1)(g) and 2.2.11(5) of the related Input Methodologies.
- in all material respects, Vector Limited's reissued additional disclosure information for related parties for the year ended 31 March 2020 complied with clauses 2.3.8, 2.3.10, 2.3.11 and 2.3.12 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (amended as of 9 December 2021).

We have also concluded that, as far as appears from an examination of them:

- proper records to enable the complete and accurate compilation of the reissued schedules as at 31 March 2020 have been kept by Vector Limited; and
- the information used in the preparation of the reissued schedules as at 31 March 2020 has been properly extracted from Vector Limited's accounting and other records and has been sourced, where appropriate, from Vector Limited's financial and non-financial systems.

Information subject to assurance

We have performed an engagement to provide reasonable assurance in relation to Vector Limited's reissued schedules and the reissued additional disclosure information for related parties for the year ended 31 March 2020.

Criteria

We have performed an engagement to provide reasonable assurance in relation to the reissued schedules 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (a-g), 6 (a-b), 7, 10 ((i)-(iv)), 14 (boxes 1 – 12) and the additional disclosure information for related parties prepared in accordance with the determination for the year ended 31 March 2020.

Key assurance matters

Key assurance matters are those matters that, in our professional judgement, were of most significance in our reasonable assurance engagement in relation to Vector Limited's reissued schedules in the current regulatory period. We summarise below those matters and our key procedures to address those matters in order that the directors and the New Zealand Commerce Commission may better understand the process by which we arrived at our opinion. Our procedures were undertaken in the context of and solely for the purpose of our opinion on the reissued schedules as a whole and we do not express discrete opinions on separate elements of the reissued schedules.

The key assurance matter

How the matter was addressed in our audit

- 1.** Capitalisation of assets into the regulatory assets base ('RAB'). Refer to Reissued Schedule 4 and Reissued Schedule 6a.

Capitalisation of assets into the RAB (capital expenditure during the year of \$503.1 million and assets commissioned of \$512.5 million) is a key assurance matter due to the following significant judgements involved:

- Assessment of whether an asset meets the definition of an asset under the determination;
- Judgement is required when establishing the value of 'right of use assets' which arise from lease arrangements; and
- Allocation of non-directly attributable assets to the electricity business. Specifically, this judgement relates to the selection of allocators which appropriately align to the cause of the expenditure.

Our procedures included, amongst others:

- examining the effectiveness of controls related to the approval of capital expenditure;
- checking a sample of costs to invoices to determine whether the description of the expenditure met the capitalisation criteria in the determination;
- comparing RAB assets commissioned to those commissioned for financial reporting purposes and obtaining explanations for any significant differences;
- assessing and challenging the assumptions used to value right of use assets capitalised within the RAB, including the lease term, lease payments and discount rates;
- examining and challenging the allocators used to allocate non-directly attributable assets into the RAB. This included an assessment of whether the allocator is an appropriate reflection of the cause of the expenditure; and
- examining the adjustments which had been made when comparing the reissued schedules to the schedules certified by Directors on 25 September 2020 (the 'original schedules').

- 2.** Completeness and accuracy of SAIDI and SAIFI. Refer to Reissued Schedule 10(i).

The accuracy and completeness of SAIDI and SAIFI (3,727 interruptions in the period resulting in SAIDI and SAIFI of 225.8 and 1.89 respectively) is a key assurance matter due to the following factors:

- the reliance on manual data entry to collect the interruption information coupled with the large volume of interruptions inherent in a large network of over 570,000 electricity connection points; and
- there are differences in the method of calculating normalised SAIDI and SAIFI between the Electricity Default-Price-Quality Path Determination (amended as of 9 July 2015) and Electricity Information Disclosure Determination 2012.

The procedures we performed to evaluate accuracy of the dataset used to calculate SAIDI and SAIFI included amongst others:

- assessing Vector Limited's quality assurance program over data entry;
- testing a sample of events by comparing the facts surrounding the events such as start time, number of customers affected and end time were consistent with the underlying books and records of Vector Limited; and
- recalculating SAIDI and SAIFI according to both the methodology of the Electricity Default-Price-Quality Path Determination and the Electricity Information Disclosure Determination 2012.

The procedures we performed to evaluate completeness of the dataset used to calculate SAIDI and SAIFI included amongst others:

The key assurance matter

How the matter was addressed in our audit

- checking whether, on a sample basis, major storm and outage events recorded in the media were appropriately recorded in the dataset;
- assessing the correct data was extracted from the underlying systems in order to prepare the reissued Schedule;
- performing data analysis to compare the recorded events to historic frequency and severity and obtaining explanations for significant differences; and
- challenging the dataset where no interruptions were recorded on a specific date, or where interruptions were recorded with zero SAIDI/SAIFI impact.

3. Allocation of shared and other costs into operating expenditure. Refer to Reissued Schedule 5d and Reissued Schedule 6b.

The allocation of shared and other costs (\$44.5 million of non-directly attributable expenditure within the total of \$129.2 million of operating expenditure) into operating expenditure is a key assurance matter due to:

- the fact that Vector Limited operates across a number of businesses, both regulated services (electricity distribution and gas distribution) and non-regulated services. A number of operating costs can therefore be shared across these businesses; and
- allocation of shared and other costs into the electricity distribution business requires judgement. Specifically, this judgement relates to the selection of allocators which appropriately align to the cause of the expenditure.

The procedures we performed to evaluate the allocation of non-directly attributable costs included, amongst others:

- examining and challenging the allocators used to record shared and other costs into operating expenditure. This included assessing whether the allocator is an appropriate reflection of the cause of the expenditure;
- comparing the total amount of shared and other costs to that recorded for financial reporting purposes and assessing any significant differences; and
- comparing shared and other costs to historic levels and our understanding of the current business model and strategy.

4. Valuation and identification of related party transactions Refer to Reissued Schedule 5b.

The valuation of transactions with related parties (\$15.2 million of purchases from related parties included in operating expenditures, and \$278.2 million of assets acquired from related parties included into capital expenditure in the period) is a key assurance matter due to:

- the significant value of the current period transactions as a result of a strategic review of Vectors assets and business

The procedures we performed to evaluate valuation of related party transactions included amongst others:

- obtaining an understanding of Vector Limited’s approach to identifying and valuing related party transactions in accordance with the Determination;
- comparing the value of each transaction with the price paid by or paid to Vectors Electricity Lines business;

The key assurance matter

- activities to support the delivery of Vector's future-focused Symphony strategy; and
- the significant judgement in forming a view of related party pricing in the absence, or insufficiency, of publicly available information about pricing and terms of certain transactions.

The identification of transactions with related parties is a key assurance matter because Vector Limited operate in a number of business areas and holds certain investments which may give rise to related party transactions with the electricity distribution business.

How the matter was addressed in our audit

- where available, comparing the value of each transaction to at least one of the following:
 - the standard price list or standard rates obtained directly from the related party; or
 - the observed market price for similar goods or services; or
 - an independent market valuation.

- for right of use assets, critically assessing the valuation approach and inputs to ensure in a range consistent with observable market practice; and
- examining the adjustments which had been made when comparing the reissued schedules to the original schedules.

The procedures we performed to evaluate completeness of related party transactions included:

- challenging whether all related party transactions had been included by comparing to our understanding of Vector Limited's operating model; and
- assessing whether all related party transactions recorded for financial reporting purposes had been correctly identified and disclosed.

Other Matter

We draw attention to Schedule 15 to the reissued schedules, which describes that Vector Limited has agreed with the New Zealand Commerce Commission to amend the regulatory effects of certain sale and leaseback transactions undertaken in March 2020 in the reissued schedules and has restated and reissued the information disclosures for the disclosure years ended 31 March 2020. The reissued schedules supersede those schedules certified on 25 September 2020. This assurance opinion supersedes our assurance opinion date 25 September 2020 in respect of the original schedules. Our opinion is not modified in respect of this matter.

Standards we followed

We conducted our reasonable assurance engagement in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements (New Zealand) 3000 (Revised) *Assurance Engagements other than audits or reviews of historical financial information* and Standard on Assurance Engagements SAE 3100 (Revised) *Assurance Engagements on Compliance*. We believe that the evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. In accordance with those standards we have:

- used our professional judgement to assess the risk of material misstatement and non-compliance and plan and perform the engagement to obtain reasonable assurance that the reissued schedules and the additional disclosure information for related parties is free from material misstatement and non-compliance, whether due to fraud or error;
- considered relevant internal controls when designing our assurance procedures, however we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of these controls; and
- ensured that the engagement team possesses the appropriate knowledge, skills and professional competencies.

How to interpret reasonable assurance and material misstatement and non-compliance

Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that it will always detect a material misstatement or non-compliance when it exists.



Misstatements, including omissions, within the reissued schedules and the additional disclosure information for related parties and non-compliance are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the relevant decisions of the intended users taken on the basis of the reissued schedules and the additional disclosure information for related parties.

Use of this assurance Report

Our report should not be regarded as suitable to be used or relied on by any party's other than Vector Limited and the New Zealand Commerce Commission in relation to section 2.8.1 of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012 (amended as of 9 December 2021) for any purpose or in any context. Any party other than Vector Limited and the New Zealand Commerce Commission who obtains access to our report or a copy thereof and chooses to rely on our report (or any part thereof) will do so at its own risk.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, we accept or assume no responsibility and deny any liability to any party other than Vector Limited and the New Zealand Commerce Commission for our work, for this independent reasonable assurance report, or for the opinions we have reached.

Our report is released to Vector Limited and the New Zealand Commerce Commission on the basis that it shall not be copied, referred to or disclosed, in whole (save for Vector Limited's own internal purposes) or in part, without our prior written consent.

Directors' responsibility for the reissued schedules

The directors of Vector Limited are responsible for the preparation and presentation of the reissued schedules and the reissued additional disclosure information for related parties in accordance with the determination. This responsibility includes such internal control as the directors determine is necessary to enable the preparation of the reissued schedules and the additional disclosure information for related parties that is free from material misstatement and non-compliance whether due to fraud or error.

Our responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion to the directors of Vector Limited and the New Zealand Commerce Commission on whether the preparation and presentation of the reissued schedules and the reissued additional disclosure information for related parties is, in all material respects, in accordance with and complies with the determination.

Our independence and quality control

We have complied with the independence and other ethical requirements of Professional and Ethical Standard 1 *International Code of Ethics for Assurance Practitioners (Including International Independence Standards) (New Zealand)* issued by the New Zealand Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, which is founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behaviour.

The firm applies Professional and Ethical Standard 3 (Amended) and accordingly maintains a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

Our firm has also provided other services to Vector Limited including the annual audit and half-yearly review of the financial statements, regulatory assurance services, other assurance services, enterprise risk management coaching and compliance services in relation to R&D tax credits. Subject to certain restrictions, partners and employees of our firm may also deal with the Vector Limited on normal terms within the ordinary course of trading activities of the business of the Vector Limited. These matters have not impaired our independence as assurance providers of the Vector Limited for this engagement. The firm has no other relationship with, or interest in, Vector Limited.

KPMG
Auckland

28 September 2022